INTEGRABILITY OF GENERALIZED PLURIHARMONIC MAPS

LARS SCHÄFER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we provide examples of maps from almost complex domains into pseudo-Riemannian symmetric targets, which are pluriharmonic and not integrable, i.e. do not admit an associated family. More precisely, for one class of examples the source has a non-integrable complex structure, like for instance a nearly Kähler structure and the target is a Riemannian symmetric space and for the other class the source is a complex manifold and the target is a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space. These examples show, that a former result, Theorem 5.3 of [12], on the existence of associated families is sharp.

1. Introduction

It is known for a long time, that a minimal surface in 3-space (up to coverings) allows a one-parameter family of isometric deformations preserving principal curvatures and rotating principal curvature directions, called the associated family. The most famous example is the deformation of the catenoid to the helicoid. Existence of associated families has been established for harmonic maps from surfaces into compact symmetric spaces (cf. [7, 15, 29, 43]) and affine symmetric spaces (cf. [1, 28]). If one passes from Riemannian surfaces to Kähler manifolds, one has to consider pluriharmonic maps, i.e. maps, such that the restriction to arbitrary complex curves is harmonic. These pluriharmonic maps admit associated families, as was shown by [36] for maps into compact Lie groups and for Riemannian symmetric spaces S = G/K in [7, 20]. In fact, pluriharmonic maps are in these cases characterized by the property of having an associated family.

In applications from theoretical physics, namely tt*-geometry [12, 39, 40], it is necessary to consider non-integrable complex structures on the source and pseudo-Riemannian metrics on the target manifolds. For this general situation there are different ways of defining the notion of a pluriharmonic map: One is to consider the partial differential equation $(\nabla d\phi)^{1,1} = 0$ (see Definition 2 for details) and another to demand the existence of an associated family (compare Definition 3 for a precise statement). For maps $h: (M, J) \to (P = G/K, J^P)$ into Kähler symmetric targets (P, J^P) the authors of [19] propose in Section 5 to define pluriharmonic maps as maps, such that the \mathfrak{g} -valued one-form $J^P \circ dh \circ J$ is closed, where P is embedded by using the standard embedding. The first two notions are only equivalent under two additional assumptions (A1) and (A2) discussed in Theorem 1. In the present article we construct pluriharmonic maps in the first sense without associated family each of these only violating either (A1) or (A2). At the same time this shows, that Theorem 1 is sharp, in the sense, that one cannot omit the additional assumptions.

Let us shortly explain the structure of this paper: In the second section we recall definitions and results on harmonic maps, pluriharmonic maps and associated families. Further we introduce nearly Kähler manifolds and properties and examples of pluriharmonic

Date: February 12, 2015.

maps from nearly Kähler sources admitting associated families. In the third section we give a general construction for pluriharmonic maps without associated families from non-integrable almost complex manifolds (M, J). In particular, we obtain examples of such maps from (M, J) into S^{2n} and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and from nearly Kähler sources. In the last section we discuss a construction which yields pluriharmonic maps without associated families from complex manifolds into pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks F. E. Burstall for valuable discussions during a visit at the University of Bath.

2. Harmonic and Pluriharmonic maps

In this section we recall some notions and results on harmonic maps, pluriharmonic maps and propose natural generalizations of the notion of a pluriharmonic map.

2.1. **Harmonic maps.** Given two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h) one associates to a smooth map

$$\phi \colon M \to N$$

an energy density $e(\phi)$ by

$$e(\phi) := \langle d\phi, d\phi \rangle,$$

where we consider $d\phi$ as a section of $T^*M \otimes \phi^*TN$ and where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the pseudo-Riemannian bundle metric on $T^*M \otimes \phi^*TN$ induced by g and h. A map $\phi \colon M \to N$ is called harmonic if it is a stationary point of the energy

$$E(\phi) := \int_D e(\phi) dv_g$$

of ϕ for all compact sub-domains $D \subset M$. Let us note, that in physics harmonic maps are also called non-linear sigma models [6, 23].

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation or the harmonic map equation is

$$Tr_q \nabla d\phi = 0, (2.1)$$

where ∇ is the connection on $T^*M \otimes \phi^*TN$ induced by the Levi-Civita connections ∇^g and ∇^h of the metrics g and h. A natural generalization of the concept of a harmonic map [1,28] is to consider a map

$$\phi \colon M \to N$$

into an affine manifold (N, ∇^N) and to replace ∇ in equation (2.1) by the connection induced by ∇^N and ∇^g .

2.2. Pluriharmonic maps. Let (M, J) be a complex manifold and (N, h) a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The harmonic functional for a smooth map from a Riemannian surface (Σ, g) into (N, h) is conformally invariant. In consequence, the subsequent notion of a pluriharmonic map does not depend on the metric chosen in the conformal class induced by the complex structure J.

A map $\phi: M \to N$ is called pluriharmonic, if its restriction $\phi \circ \iota \colon \Sigma \to N$ to an arbitrary Riemannian surface $\iota \colon \Sigma \to M$ is harmonic.

Pluriharmonic maps are equivalently described by a partial differential equation: A map $\phi: M \to N$ is pluriharmonic if and only if it satisfies the equation

$$(\nabla d\phi)^{1,1} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where ∇ is the connection on $T^*M \otimes \phi^*TN$ induced by a torsion-free complex connection D, i.e. a torsion-free connection satisfying DJ = 0, and by the Levi-Civita connection ∇^h of h.

One possible way to generalize pluriharmonic maps to maps from almost complex source manifolds is by considering maps satisfying the Equation (2.2). In order to do this, we have to fix a connection on M. The existence of a torsion-free complex connection on (M, J) is equivalent to the integrability of J. As a consequence we need to weaken the requirements on the connection. This motivates to consider complex connections of Nijenhuis type in the sense of the next Definition. We shall see below, that the notion of a generalized pluriharmonic map does not depend on the choice of the Nijenhuis type complex connection.

Definition 1. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. A complex connection D is called of Nijenhuis type provided that its torsion T satisfies the condition $T^{1,1} = 0$.

The Nijenhuis tensor¹ of the almost complex structure J is defined as

$$N_J(X,Y) := [JX,JY] - [X,Y] - J[X,JY] - J[JX,Y], X,Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

A well-known result (see for example Theorem 3.4 in ch. IX of [31]) ensures that on an almost complex manifold, there exists a complex connection D, such that its torsion T is a multiple of the Nijenhuis-tensor. As N_J has vanishing (1,1)-part, the space of Nijenhuis type complex connections is not empty and is described as follows.

Proposition 1. The space of Nijenhuis type complex connections is an affine space over

$$\mathcal{NC} = \{ S \in \Gamma \left(T^*M \otimes End(TM, J) \right) \mid alt(S)^{1,1} = 0 \},$$

where End(TM, J) are the J-linear endomorphisms and where

$$alt: T^*M \otimes End(TM) \to T^*M \otimes End(TM)$$

is the alternation map

$$alt(S)(X,Y) = S_X Y - S_Y X, X, Y \in TM.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\mathcal{NC} = \{ S \in \Gamma \left(T^*M \otimes End(TM, J) \right) \mid S_Z \overline{W} = 0 \text{ for all } Z, W \in T^{1,0}M \}.$$
 (2.3)

Proof. Let us consider two Nijenhuis type complex connections D^1 and D^2 and set $S_XY := D_X^1Y - D_X^2Y$ for some vector fields X, Y. It follows from $D^1J = D^2J = 0$, that one has

$$0 = (D_X^1 J)Y - (D_X^2 J)Y = [S_X, J]Y, \ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

In other words S_X is a complex linear endomorphism. The torsions T^1 and T^2 of D^1 and D^2 are related by

$$T^{1}(X,Y) - T^{2}(X,Y) = S_{X}Y - S_{Y}X = alt(S)(X,Y).$$

Hence the condition, that the (1,1)-part of the torsion vanishes yields $alt(S)^{1,1}=0$, i.e. $S_Z\bar{W}=S_{\bar{W}}Z$ for all $Z,W\in T^{1,0}M$.

Conversely, let D^1 be a Nijenhuis type complex connection and $S \in \mathcal{NC}$, then $D^2 = D^1 + S$ is a complex connection, as S_X is complex linear. Since the torsion of D^2 is $T^2 = \text{alt}(S)$, D^2 is a connection of Nijenhuis type.

Let us now fix $S \in \mathcal{NC}$ and Z, W of type (1,0). As S_Z and $S_{\bar{W}}$ are complex linear, it

¹In [31] the Nijenhuis tensor is defined with a factor 2.

follows, that $S_Z\bar{W}$ is of type (0,1) and $S_{\bar{W}}Z$ is of type (1,0). From $S_Z\bar{W}=S_{\bar{W}}Z$ we conclude $S_Z\bar{W}=S_{\bar{W}}Z=0$, which proves the inclusion ' \subset ' in equation (2.3). The other inclusion ' \supset ' in equation (2.3) is obvious.

Proposition 2. Let $\phi: (M, J, D) \to (N, h)$ be a map between an almost complex manifold (M, J) endowed with a complex connection D of Nijenhuis type and a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (N, h), then

(i) the field $(\nabla d\phi)^{1,1}$ is symmetric, i.e. $\nabla d\phi(Z,\bar{W}) = \nabla d\phi(\bar{W},Z)$ for $Z,W \in T^{1,0}M$

(ii) and the equation $(\nabla d\phi)^{1,1} = 0$ does not depend on the choice of the Nijenhuis type complex connection D.

Proof. In order to prove (i) we recall the following information: Given a smooth map $\phi \colon M \to N$, the differential $\Phi := d\phi \colon TM \to \phi^*TN = E$ induces a vector bundle homomorphism between the tangent bundle of M and the pull-back of TN via ϕ . From the vanishing of the torsion of ∇^h we get the identity

$$\nabla_V^E \Phi(W) - \nabla_W^E \Phi(V) - \Phi([V, W]) = 0, \text{ for } V, W \in \Gamma(TM), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\nabla^E = \phi^* \nabla^h$ denotes the pull-back connection, i.e. the connection which is induced on E by the Levi-Civita connection ∇^h of h.

For Z, W of type (1,0) we get using $T^{1,1}=0$ and equation (2.4), that it holds

$$0 = \nabla_Z^E \Phi(\bar{W}) - \nabla_{\bar{W}}^E \Phi(Z) - \Phi(D_Z \bar{W} - D_{\bar{W}} Z)$$
$$= \nabla d\phi(Z, \bar{W}) - \nabla d\phi(\bar{W}, Z)$$

and hence $(\nabla d\phi)^{1,1}$ is symmetric. This shows part (i).

Let us consider two Nijenhuis type complex connections D^1 and D^2 . For k=1,2 we write

$$\nabla^k d\phi(Z, \bar{W}) = \nabla_Z^E \Phi(\bar{W}) - \Phi(D_Z^k \bar{W}).$$

Hence it follows

$$\nabla^2 d\phi(Z, \bar{W}) - \nabla^1 d\phi(Z, \bar{W}) = \Phi(S_Z \bar{W})$$
(2.5)

for $S_Z\bar{W}=D_Z^1\bar{W}-D_Z^2\bar{W}$. Using equation (2.3) of Proposition 1 one has $S_Z\bar{W}=S_{\bar{W}}Z=0$, which yields

$$\nabla^1 d\phi(Z, \bar{W}) = \nabla^2 d\phi(Z, \bar{W})$$

and finishes the proof of part (ii).

This Proposition shows, that the next Definition does not depend on the choice of the Nijenhuis type complex connection D.

Definition 2. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold and (N, h) a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, then a map $\phi \colon (M, J) \to (N, h)$ is called generalized pluriharmonic if it satisfies the equation

$$(\nabla d\phi)^{1,1} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where ∇ is the connection on $T^*M \otimes \phi^*TN$ induced by a Nijenhuis type complex connection D on (M, J) and the Levi-Civita connection ∇^h of h.

One observes, that until here we did not use a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric on (M, J). For an extensive study of the space of Hermitian connections we refer to [22]. It is a well-known fact, that a pluriharmonic map from a (pseudo-)Kähler manifold into a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold is harmonic.

Recall, that an almost complex manifold endowed with a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric is called almost (pseudo-)Hermitian if it holds $J^*g = g$. The above mentioned result generalizes to the following proposition. Examples of this type are given in Section 2.4.

Proposition 3 (see for instance Proposition 7 of [40]). Let (M, J, g) be an almost Hermitian manifold endowed with a Nijenhuis type complex connection D and (N, h) a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Moreover, suppose that the tensor $\nabla^g - D$ is trace-free, i.e. $Tr_g(\nabla^g \cdot -D \cdot) = 0$. Then a generalized pluriharmonic map $\phi: (M, J) \to (N, h)$ is harmonic.

We observe, that the condition $\operatorname{Tr}_g(\nabla^g \cdot -D \cdot) = 0$ does not depend on the choice of the Nijenhuis type complex connection D.

2.3. Associated families and symmetric targets. In the following we fix an almost complex manifold (M, J) and we put

$$\mathcal{R}_{\theta} = \exp(\theta J) \in \Gamma(\text{End}(TM))$$

with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. An associated family for $\phi : M \to N$ is a family of maps

$$\phi_{\theta}: M \to N, \ \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

such that it holds

$$\Psi_{\theta} \circ d\phi_{\theta} = d\phi \circ \mathcal{R}_{\theta},$$

for some bundle isomorphism $\Psi_{\theta} \colon \phi_{\theta}^*TN \to \phi^*TN, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, which is parallel with respect to ∇^h , i.e. satisfies the equation

$$\Psi_{\theta} \circ (\phi_{\theta}^* \nabla^h) = (\phi^* \nabla^h) \circ \Psi_{\theta}.$$

For a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space (N = G/K, h) as target manifold the next result generalizes Theorem 1 of [20].

Theorem 1 (Theorem 5.3 of [12]). Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold and (N = G/K, h) be a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space. A smooth map $\phi \colon M \to G/K$ admits an associated family ϕ_{θ} if and only if it is a generalized pluriharmonic map $\phi \colon (M, J) \to G/K$ and satisfies

$$R^{h}(d\phi(T^{1,0}M), d\phi(T^{1,0}M)) = 0, (2.7)$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}\phi(T(V,W)) = d\phi(T(V,W)^{0,1}) = 0$$
 (2.8)

for all $V, W \in T^{1,0}M$, where R^h is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^h of the metric h and where $\bar{\partial}\phi$ is the (0,1)-part of the complex linear extension $d\phi \colon TM \otimes \mathbb{C} \to TN \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of the differential of ϕ .

This result motivates another generalization of the notion of a pluriharmonic map.

Definition 3. A map $\phi: M \to N$ is called \mathbb{S}^1 -pluriharmonic if it admits an associated family.

By Theorem 1 an \mathbb{S}^1 -pluriharmonic map is pluriharmonic in the sense of Definition 2. Since in the definition of an associated family we do not use the choice of a connection on M this notion is independent of our choice of a Nijenhuis type connection. Moreover, as seen in Proposition 2 the pluriharmonic equation is independent of the choice of a Nijenhuis

type complex connection. Hence we chose a connection with torsion proportional to the Nijenhuis tensor and the condition (2.8) is seen to be completely determined by the almost complex structure, i.e.

$$d\phi(N_J(V,W)) = \bar{\partial}\phi(N_J(V,W)) = 0, \text{ for all } V, W \in T^{1,0}M.$$
(2.9)

This condition (2.9) obviously holds true if the almost complex structure is integrable. Note, that (more directly) for an arbitrary Nijenhuis type complex connection D one computes

$$T(V,W)^{0,1} = (D_V W - D_W V - [V,W])^{0,1} = -[V,W]^{0,1}, V,W \in T^{1,0}M,$$

which is a multiple of the Nijenhuis tensor and yields the same observation as (2.9). Nonetheless as we shall see in Remark 1 the equation (2.9) can be very restrictive.

2.4. Nearly Kähler manifolds. A class of almost Hermitian manifolds of particular interest here is nearly Kähler manifolds, since on the one hand one has the explicit examples of pluriharmonic maps admitting associated families given in Remark 2 below and on the other hand we give examples of pluriharmonic maps without associated families in Section 3.3. Independently, nearly Kähler manifolds are of interest as one of the classes in the Gray-Hervella classification [27] and as an active field of research in Hermitian geometry [2, 24, 32, 33] and mathematical physics as backgrounds in string compactifications [42].

Definition 4. An almost Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is called nearly Kähler manifold if it holds $(\nabla_X^g J)Y = -(\nabla_Y^g J)X$ for all $X, Y \in TM$.

On a nearly Kähler manifold, there exists a unique complex and metric connection $\overline{\nabla}$ with totally skew-symmetric torsion [21] (Here the torsion is considered as a three-form using the metric g.), called the **characteristic connection**. In fact, this connection is given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X^g Y + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_X^g J) J Y$$

and for its torsion we have $\overline{T}(X,Y) = (\nabla_X^g J)JY$.

Corollary 1. Let (M, J, g) be a nearly Kähler manifold. Then a generalized pluriharmonic map $\phi : (M, J) \to N$ is harmonic.

Proof. One easily checks (see for instance [40]), that in the nearly Kähler case it is

$$N_J(X,Y) = 4(\nabla_X^g J)JY = 4\overline{T}(X,Y)$$

and therefore $\overline{\nabla}$ is a Nijenhuis type complex connection. In addition $\overline{\nabla} - \nabla^g = \frac{1}{2}\overline{T}$ is skew-symmetric. This means, that we are in the situation of Proposition 3.

Remark 1. In real dimension six the Nijenhuis tensor, seen as the following linear map

$$\Lambda^2 T^{1,0} M \to T^{0,1} M,$$

$$(X,Y) \mapsto N_J(X,Y),$$

can be invertible.

Examples of this type are given by strict nearly Kähler six-manifolds, as follows for instance by combining Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.10 of [41].

The most famous case is the six-sphere with its complex structure induced by the octonions [25]. The relations (2.8) or (2.9) then imply $\bar{\partial}\phi = 0$.

Remark 2. Examples of \mathbb{S}^1 -pluriharmonic maps from almost complex domains are given in Theorem 6 of [40]. These maps are constructed using a correspondence between tt^* -bundles and pluriharmonic maps from [16,39]. A special class of examples for tt^* -bundles is provided by Levi-Civita flat nearly Kähler metrics, cf. Theorem 7 of the same reference. A constructive classification of flat nearly Kähler manifolds (M, J, g) is given in [13]. We note, that in order to obtain the non-Kähler or strict nearly Kähler examples of [13], one needs to admit pseudo-Riemannian metrics. More precisely, these results give non-trivial \mathbb{S}^1 -pluriharmonic maps from flat nearly pseudo-Kähler manifolds (M, J, g) of symmetric signature (m, m) into the pseudo-Hermitian symmetric space SO(2m, 2m)/U(m, m), see Section 4 of [12].

In Section 3.3 we give examples of pluriharmonic maps from nearly Kähler manifolds into Riemannian symmetric spaces without associated families.

3. Non-integrable source domain and Riemannian symmetric targets

The aim of this section is to give examples of pluriharmonic maps from an almost complex domain (M, J) with non-integrable almost complex structure into Riemannian symmetric spaces, like for example S^{2n} , which admit **no** associated family. In particular, we give examples, where the source manifolds are nearly Kähler.

3.1. The twistor construction.

Let us first shortly recall the twistor construction [4, 8, 17, 18]. One considers a 2n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (N, h). Denote by $\mathcal{J}(N)$ the bundle of almost Hermitian structures on N, i.e.

$$\mathcal{J}_x(N) = \{ J \in \operatorname{End}(T_x N) \mid J^2 = -1, \ h(J, \cdot) = -h(\cdot, J, \cdot) \}$$

and by $\pi: \mathcal{J}(N) \to N$, $j_x \mapsto x$ the canonical projection.

The fibers of this bundle are identified with the Hermitian symmetric space O(2n)/U(n). Using the Levi-Civita connection on (N, h) one splits

$$T(\mathcal{J}(N))=\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{V},$$

where $\mathcal{V} = \ker \pi_*$ is the vertical distribution and $\mathcal{H} \cong \pi^*TN$ the horizontal one. The distribution \mathcal{V} inherits a complex structure $J^{\mathcal{V}}$ from O(2n)/U(n) and \mathcal{H} acquires a complex structure $J^{\mathcal{H}}$ given by $J_j^{\mathcal{H}} = j$. These two can be combined to define two different almost complex structures on $\mathcal{J}(N)$:

$$J_1 = J^{\gamma} + J^{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } J_2 = (-J^{\gamma}) + J^{\mathcal{H}}.$$
 (3.1)

Now we consider a second almost complex manifold (M, J), then a map $\psi : M \to \mathcal{J}(N)$ with $\pi \circ \psi = \varphi : M \to N$ corresponds to the choice of an almost complex structure on φ^*TN , which is the same as the choice of a maximally isotropic subbundle ψ^+ of (1, 0)-vectors in $\varphi^*TN \otimes \mathbb{C}$ w.r.t. the complex bilinear extension of h to $TN \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The subbundle of (0, 1)-vectors is called ψ^- . The space $\mathcal{J}(N)$ endowed with these almost complex structures J_1, J_2 is first introduced and studied in [17].

Proposition 4 (Proposition 2.3 of [8] or Theorem 5.7 of [37]). Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold, $\psi : M \to \mathcal{J}(N)$ a map and set $\varphi := \pi \circ \psi$.

Then ψ is holomorphic with respect to J_2 if and only if

$$\varphi^* \nabla^h_{\bar{Z}} \ s \in \Gamma(\psi^+) \ for \ all \ Z \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M) \ and \ s \in \Gamma(\psi^+),$$
 (3.2)

$$d\varphi(T^{1,0}M) \subset \psi^+ \tag{3.3}$$

or equivalently

$$\varphi^* \nabla_Z^h \ s \in \Gamma(\psi^-) \ for \ all \ Z \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M) \ and \ s \in \Gamma(\psi^-),$$
 (3.4)

$$d\varphi(T^{0,1}M) \subset \psi^{-}. \tag{3.5}$$

In many geometric situations and in the applications below one has to consider the following setting [5,34]: Let \mathcal{Z} be a complex manifold and $p: \mathcal{Z} \to N$ be a submersion with complex fibers and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ a horizontal distribution for p. Moreover, let $i: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{J}(N)$ be a fiber preserving map, which is holomorphic between the fibers and maps the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ to \mathcal{H} . We refer to such a fibration $p: \mathcal{Z} \to N$ endowed with the described data $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{Z}}, i)$ as a twistor space. In the same manner as in Equation (3.1) one obtains almost complex structures $J_1^{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $J_2^{\mathcal{Z}}$ on \mathcal{Z} , such that the map i is a holomorphic map between $(\mathcal{Z}, J_k^{\mathcal{Z}})$ and $(\mathcal{J}(N), J_k)$ for k = 1, 2. If we define ψ^+ and ψ^- in the analogous way as above we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 2. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold and \mathbb{Z} a twistor space over (N, h), $\psi : M \to \mathbb{Z}$ a map and set $\varphi := p \circ \psi$. Then ψ is holomorphic with respect to $J_2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if

$$\varphi^* \nabla^h_{\bar{Z}} s \in \Gamma(\psi^+) \text{ for all } Z \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M) \text{ and } s \in \Gamma(\psi^+),$$
 (3.6)

$$d\varphi(T^{1,0}M) \subset \psi^+ \tag{3.7}$$

or equivalently

$$\varphi^* \nabla_Z^h \ s \in \Gamma(\psi^-) \ for \ all \ Z \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M) \ and \ s \in \Gamma(\psi^-),$$
 (3.8)

$$d\varphi(T^{0,1}M) \subset \psi^{-}. \tag{3.9}$$

3.2. Pluriharmonic maps into symmetric targets without associated family.

In this subsection we give examples of pluriharmonic maps from almost complex manifolds into symmetric spaces N, which do not admit an associated family, since the condition (2.8) of Theorem 1 is not satisfied. In particular, if N is of compact (as for example S^{2n} or $\mathbb{C}P^n$) or non-compact type, then the curvature condition (2.7) of the same Theorem holds true by a Lemma of [35], see also p. 298 of [20].

Theorem 2. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold, (N^{2n}, h) be a Riemannian manifold and $p: \mathbb{Z} \to N$ be a twistor space over (N, h), then a $J_2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ -holomorphic map $\psi: M \to \mathbb{Z}$ induces a pluriharmonic map $\varphi = p \circ \psi$ from (M, J) to (N, h).

Proof. Let $\psi \colon M \to \mathcal{Z}$ be a $J_2^{\mathcal{Z}}$ -holomorphic map and set $\varphi = p \circ \psi$. For $Z, W \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M)$ we consider

$$(\nabla_Z d\varphi)\bar{W} = \varphi^* \nabla_Z^h (d\varphi \bar{W}) - d\varphi (D_Z \bar{W}) \text{ and}$$
 (3.10)

$$(\nabla_{\bar{W}} d\varphi) Z = \varphi^* \nabla^h_{\bar{W}} (d\varphi Z) - d\varphi (D_{\bar{W}} Z), \tag{3.11}$$

where D is a Nijenhuis type complex connection. As D is a complex connection and hence preserves the decomposition $TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M$, we get using Corollary 2, that the expression in equation (3.10) lies in ψ^- and the term (3.11) lies in ψ^+ . The fact that $(\nabla d\varphi)^{1,1}$ is symmetric (see Proposition 2), shows $(\nabla d\varphi)^{1,1} = 0$.

Corollary 3. Let (N^{2n}, h) be a Riemannian manifold and \mathbb{Z} be a twistor space over N, then $p:(\mathbb{Z},J_2^{\mathbb{Z}})\to N$ is pluriharmonic.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2 by setting $\psi := id : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1. For the following examples we can apply Theorem 2 and show in Corollary 4, that the condition (2.8) of Theorem 1 does not hold true.

(i) The case of the 2n-dimensional sphere was first studied in [10]. In this case the twistor space is given by the homogeneous fibration

$$p: \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(S^{2n}) = SO(2n+1)/U(n) \to SO(2n+1)/SO(2n) = S^{2n}$$

and the generic fiber is SO(2n)/U(n), which is, as mentioned above, a Hermitian symmetric space and can be seen as the Grassmannian of maximal isotropic subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (w.r.t. the bilinear extension of the Euclidean scalar product of \mathbb{R}^{2n}). The map i is given by the inclusion of SO(2n)/U(n) into O(2n)/U(n), which corresponds to restricting to almost complex structures compatible with a fixed orientation on S^{2n} . We consider the symmetric decomposition

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n+1) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2n) \text{ with } \mathfrak{p} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v^t \\ -v & 0_{2n \times 2n} \end{pmatrix} \middle| v \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

and where $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ is embedded as

$$A \in \mathfrak{so}(2n) \mapsto \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{so}(2n+1).$$

Let us further decompose

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) = \mathfrak{u}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{h},$$

where \mathfrak{h} are the Hermitian matrices. Setting $\mathfrak{m}:=\mathfrak{p}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ we have the following reductive decomposition for Z given by

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n+1) = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n).$$

Let o = e U(n) be a canonical base point. Then $T_o \mathbb{Z}$ is identified with \mathfrak{m} and \mathcal{V}_o corresponds to \mathfrak{h} and \mathcal{H}_o to \mathfrak{p} . In particular, from $[\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ it follows $[\mathcal{H},\mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{H}$.

(ii) For $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J)$ one considers following [14,18] the homogeneous fibrations

$$p \colon \mathcal{Z}_r = \mathcal{Z}_r(\mathbb{C}P^n) = \frac{U(n+1)}{U(r) \times U(1) \times U(n-r)} \to \frac{U(n+1)}{U(1) \times U(n)} = \mathbb{C}P^n,$$

where $1 \le r \le n$ is fixed and the symmetric decomposition

$$\mathfrak{u}(n+1) = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n) \text{ with } \mathfrak{p} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & v^h \\ -v & 0_{n \times n} \end{array} \right) \middle| v \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)$ is embedded as

$$(z,A) \in \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc} z & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{u}(n+1).$$

Moreover, let us decompose

$$\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n) \cong \mathfrak{u}(r) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n-r) \oplus \mathfrak{h},$$

where the $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ factors are mapped to each other and where we set s=n-r and put

$$\mathfrak{h} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0_{r \times r} & z_1 & A \\ -z_1^h & 0 & -z_2^h \\ -A^h & z_2 & 0_{s \times s} \end{array} \right) \middle| A \in M(r, s, \mathbb{C}), z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^r, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^s \right\}.$$

Setting $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ we have the following reductive decomposition for \mathcal{Z} given by

$$\mathfrak{u}(n+1) = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(r) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n-r).$$

Let $o = e(U(1) \times U(n))$ be a canonical base point. Then $T_o\mathbb{Z}$ is identified with \mathfrak{m} and \mathcal{V}_o corresponds to \mathfrak{h} and \mathcal{H}_o to \mathfrak{p} . In particular, from $[\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ it follows $[\mathcal{H},\mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the decomposition of $\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{C}^r \oplus \mathbb{C}^s$ corresponds to the choice of a complex r-plane W in $T_o\mathbb{C}P^n$ and one defines a complex structure on $T_o\mathbb{C}P^n$ by j = J on W and j = -J on $W^{\perp} \cong \mathbb{C}^s$. This yields the map i, which is studied in Section 6 of [34] after identifying $\mathcal{Z}_r(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ with the Grassmann bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Corollary 4. There exist non-trivial (generalized) pluriharmonic maps from the twistor spaces $\left(\mathbb{Z}(S^{2n}), J_2^{\mathbb{Z}(S^{2n})}\right)$ to S^{2n} and $\left(\mathbb{Z}_r(\mathbb{C}P^n), J_2^{\mathbb{Z}_r(\mathbb{C}P^n)}\right)$, $1 \leq r \leq n$, to $\mathbb{C}P^n$, which do not admit an associated family.

In the proof of this Corollary we use the following Lemma relating the Nijenhuis tensors of the two complex structures on a twistor space.

Lemma 1. Let Z be a twistor space and denote by N_1 and N_2 the Nijenhuis tensors of the almost complex structures J_1^z and J_2^z . For a basic horizontal vector field X and a vertical vector field V one has the relation

$$N_2(X, V) = N_1(X, V) + 2([J_1V, J_1X] + J_1(\pi^{\nu}[V, J_1X])).$$

In particular, the horizontal part of the difference is

$$\pi^{\mathcal{H}}(N_2(X,V) - N_1(X,V)) = 2\pi^{\mathcal{H}}([J_1V, J_1X]),$$

where $\pi^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\pi^{\mathcal{V}}$ are the projections to \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{V} , respectively.

Proof. Using that for a basic vector field X and a vertical vector field W the bracket [X, W] is vertical² we obtain:

$$\begin{split} N_2(X,V) &= [J_2V,J_2X] - [V,X] - J_2[V,J_2X] - J_2[J_2V,X] \\ &= -[J_1V,J_1X] - [V,X] - J_2[V,J_1X] + J_2[J_1V,X] \\ &= -[J_1V,J_1X] - [V,X] - J_2[V,J_1X] - J_1[J_1V,X] \\ &= N_1(X,V) + 2[J_1V,J_1X] - J_2[V,J_1X] + J_1[V,J_1X] \\ &= N_1(X,V) + 2\left([J_1V,J_1X] + J_1\pi^{V}([V,J_1X])\right). \end{split}$$

Proof. (of Corollary 4) As in Corollary 3 one can take the identity for the map ψ . In both cases it is known that J_1 is integrable.³ For the Nijenhuis tensor N_2 of J_2 it follows from Lemma 1 and the vanishing of N_1 , that one has $dp \circ N_2 \neq 0$, where we used the relation $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{H}$ given in Example 1. Therefore the necessary condition (2.8) is not satisfied.

 $^{^{2}}$ cf. for instance 9.22 of [3]

³ For S^{2n} the integrability of J_1 follows, since S^{2n} is conformally flat. Moreover, for an almost Hermitian manifold N the integrability of J_1 on the Grassmann bundle of k-planes is equivalent to the vanishing of the Bochner curvature, cf. Section 6 of [34]. Since $\mathbb{C}P^n$ has constant holomorphic sectional curvature, it is Bochner flat and J_1 is integrable.

3.3. Pluriharmonic maps from nearly Kähler manifolds without associated family.

In this section we are interested in nearly Kähler structures (M, J, g) on twistor spaces. Further we suppose, that M is a strict nearly Kähler manifold and has special algebraic torsion in the sense of the next Definition [32].

Definition 5. A strict nearly Kähler manifold (M, J, g) has special algebraic torsion, if there exists an orthogonal decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, which is parallel for the characteristic connection $\overline{\nabla}$, such that \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{V} are invariant under J and it holds $\overline{T}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$ and $\overline{T}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{V}$.

Additionally assume, that M is connected, complete and irreducible (as Riemannian manifold), then there exist a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) and a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers $p: M \to N$, called the canonical fibration, such that the fibers with the induced Riemannian metric and almost complex structure are Hermitian symmetric spaces and such that the vertical bundle of p coincides with \mathcal{V} . For more details we refer to Section 4 of [32] or Section 1 of [24].

Denote by $g_{\mathcal{V}}$, $g_{\mathcal{H}}$, $J_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{H}}$ the restrictions of the nearly Kähler data J and g to \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} . Then $\hat{g} := 2g_{\mathcal{V}} \oplus g_{\mathcal{H}}$ and \hat{J} with $\hat{J}_{\mathcal{V}} = -J_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\hat{J}_{\mathcal{H}} = J_{\mathcal{H}}$ give a Kähler structure (\hat{J}, \hat{g}) on M. By the classification of [32] (see also [24]) p is a twistor fibration and one has the following information:

- (i) M is homogeneous if and only if N is a symmetric space,
- (ii) M is non-homogeneous if and only if N is a non-symmetric positive quaternionic Kähler manifold N^{4k} and M is its twistor space.

Let us note, that J and \hat{J} correspond to J_1 and J_2 , respectively. The map i is in both cases (i) and (ii) the inclusion of the fibers of $p: M \to N$ of the projection p into M, see Proposition 4.2 of [32].

For completeness sake we shortly recall, that a quaternionic Kähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold (N^{4k}, g) of dimension 4k with holonomy $Sp(k) \cdot Sp(1)$. This means, that there exists a rank 3 sub-bundle Q of End(TN), which is preserved by the Levi-Civita connection of g and is locally generated by three anti-commuting endomorphisms-fields j_1, j_2, j_3 , that satisfy $j_1^2 = j_2^2 = -1$ and $j_3 = j_1 j_2 = -j_2 j_1$. The condition that Q is preserved by the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g is in a given standard local basis $\{j_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^3$ of Q equivalent to the equations

$$\nabla_X^g j_\alpha = -\theta_\gamma(X) j_\beta + \theta_\beta(X) j_\gamma, \text{ for } X \in TN,$$
(3.12)

where α, β, γ is a cyclic permutation of 1, 2, 3 and $\{\theta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{3}$ are local one-forms. One defines the twistor space as the following S^{2} -sphere bundle

$$\mathcal{Z}^{QK} := \{ A \in Q \, | \, A^2 = -1 \}.$$

The fibers carry the structure of a Hermitian symmetric space and hence that of a Kähler manifold and one applies a similar construction as given in section 3.1 to define two almost complex structures J_1, J_2 on \mathbb{Z}^{QK} , such that there is a holomorphic inclusion into $\mathcal{J}(N)$ which is induced by the inclusion of the corresponding endomorphisms. Moreover, one obtains an almost Hermitian metric by

$$g_z = \frac{1}{\nu} g^{S^2} \oplus g_{\pi(z)}, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{Z}^{QK},$$

where $\nu = \frac{\text{scal}}{4k(k+2)}$ is the reduced scalar curvature. This metric is a Kähler-Einstein metric by Theorem 6.1 of [38] or Theorem 14.80 of [3]. The corresponding nearly Kähler examples (\hat{J}, \hat{g}) of this type can also be characterized by a holonomic condition [2, 33]. Combining the above classification information with Theorem 2 we obtain the following examples.

Proposition 5. Let (\mathbb{Z}^{QK}, g, J) be the twistor space of a positive quaternionic Kähler manifold endowed with its canonical nearly Kähler structure.

Then the projection $p: \mathbb{Z}^{QK} \to N^{4k}$ is a (generalized) pluriharmonic map, which does not admit an associated family.

Proof. As above we use Theorem 2 with the identity map as $\psi \colon \mathcal{Z}^{QK} \to \mathcal{Z}^{QK}$, then the projection is pluriharmonic.

Let us recall, that we are in the situation of special algebraic torsion, i.e. we have a $\overline{\nabla}$ -parallel orthogonal and *J*-invariant decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$.

Claim: It holds $\{0\} \neq \overline{T}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Firstly, we have $0 = g(\overline{T}(U, V), X) = g(\overline{T}(X, U), V)$, for $U, V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. $\overline{T}(X, U)$ lies in \mathcal{H} for $U \in \mathcal{V}$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}$.

Secondly, we show that

$$W := \operatorname{span}\{\overline{T}(X, U) | U \in \mathcal{V}, X \in \mathcal{H}\} \neq \{0\}.$$

Let us suppose $W = \{0\}$, then it follows $0 = g(\overline{T}(X, U), Y) = -g(\overline{T}(X, Y), U), \forall U \in \mathcal{V}, X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, which contradicts the special algebraic torsion condition $\overline{T}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{V}$ and finishes the proof of the claim.

Since the Nijenhuis tensor is a multiple of \overline{T} , we conclude that the necessary condition (2.8) of Theorem 1 is not valid.

In Section 8 of [24] detailed lists of homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion and the according canonical fibrations combining the results of [26, 32] are given. These give further examples of (generalized) pluriharmonic maps without associated families.

Proposition 6. Let (M, J, g) be a connected, complete and irreducible (as Riemannian manifold) homogeneous strict nearly Kähler manifold with special algebraic torsion, then the canonical fibration $p: M \to N$ is a (generalized) pluriharmonic map to the symmetric space N, which does not admit an associated family.

Proof. The proof goes along the same line as that of Proposition 5. \Box

Let us recall, that S^4 and $\mathbb{C}P^2$ are the only four-dimensional compact positive quaternionic Kähler manifolds and their twistor spaces, namely $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and the flag manifold F(1,2), are by results of [9] the only (simply connected) homogeneous examples with special algebraic torsion in dimension six.

Corollary 5. There exist non-trivial (generalized) pluriharmonic maps from the sixdimensional nearly Kähler manifolds $\mathcal{J}(S^4)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{C}P^2)$, resp. endowed with the above given nearly Kähler structure to the Riemannian symmetric spaces S^4 and $\mathbb{C}P^2$, resp., which do not admit an associated family.

4. Integrable source and pseudo-Riemannian targets

In this section we consider a smooth map $f: M \to N = G/H$ from a complex manifold (M, J) into a reductive homogeneous space N = G/H with canonical projection $\pi: G \to G/H$. Further let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \tag{4.1}$$

be the associated reductive decomposition. Denote by $\theta \colon TG \to \mathfrak{g}$ the left Maurer-Cartan form of G, which splits according to Equation (4.1) into its components

$$\theta = \theta_{\rm m} \oplus \theta_{\rm h}$$
.

A map $F: M \to G$ is called framing⁴ of f provided that it holds $f := \pi \circ F$. For a framing F let $\alpha := F^*\theta$ and decompose α with respect to the direct sum (4.1) into

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

The tangent space at o = eH of G/H is identified with $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ and as G/H is reductive with \mathfrak{m} via

$$\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{m} \ni X \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} exp(tX) \cdot o.$$

This provides an identification of TN with $G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, there exists a natural inclusion of $G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$ into $G \times_H \mathfrak{g}$, which itself can be canonically identified with $N \times \mathfrak{g}$. Combining the above information we get an identification

$$\beta \colon TN \to N \times \mathfrak{g}$$

of TN with a subbundle of $N \times \mathfrak{g}$ and we may interpret β as a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on N. Let us now additionally suppose, that (G/H,h) is naturally reductive, i.e. h is a G-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on G/H, such that one has

$$\langle [X,Y]_{\mathfrak{m}},Z\rangle = \langle X,[Y,Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}\rangle, \text{ for } X,Y,Z\in\mathfrak{m},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the pseudo-Euclidean scalar product on \mathfrak{m} corresponding to h.

Proposition 7 (Chapter 1 of [8], Section 1 of [28]). The Levi-Civita connection ∇^h of the naturally reductive homogeneous space (N = G/H, h) is given by

$$\beta(\nabla^h_XY) = X(\beta(Y)) - [\beta(X), \beta(Y)] + \frac{1}{2}P[\beta(X), \beta(Y)], \ X, Y \in \Gamma(TN),$$

where P denotes the projection onto the tangent bundle $P: N \times \mathfrak{g} \to G \times_H \mathfrak{m}$ and the canonical connection is given by

$$\beta(\nabla_X^{can}Y) = X(\beta(Y)) - [\beta(X), \beta(Y)], \ X, Y \in \Gamma(TN).$$

From this Proposition one obtains the observation, that if G/H is symmetric, then ∇^{can} and ∇^h coincide (see for example the Remark on p. 10 of [8]). Moreover, we have the relations

$$(\pi^*\beta)_g = \operatorname{Ad}(g)(\theta_{\mathfrak{m}})_g$$
 and $f^*\beta = \operatorname{Ad}(F)\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

⁴For a contractible manifold such a framing always exists. This fact is not needed in the present text, as we shall always start with some given framing.

Proposition 8. Let $f:(M,J) \to (G/H,h)$ be a smooth map from a complex manifold (M,J) into a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space (G/H,h) and let $F:M \to G$ be a framing of f. The map f is pluriharmonic if and only if

$$\bar{\partial}\alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}} + \left[\alpha''_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}\right] = 0,$$

where $\alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the (1,0)-part of $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\alpha''_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the (0,1)-part of $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Proof. Using Proposition 7 and the identity

$$\beta(\partial f) = (f^*\beta)' \tag{4.2}$$

one has for the (1,1)-part of ∇df

$$\beta \left(\nabla'' \partial f \right) = \bar{\partial} \beta (\partial f) - [(f^* \beta)'' \wedge (f^* \beta)'],$$

where ∇ is the connection induced by $\nabla^{can} = \nabla^h$ and by a Nijenhuis type complex connection D. Applying the identity (4.2) and $(f^*\beta)' = \operatorname{Ad}(F) \alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \bar{\partial}\beta(\partial f) &= \bar{\partial}(\mathrm{Ad}(F)\,\alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ &= \mathrm{Ad}(F)\,\left(\bar{\partial}\alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}} + \left[\alpha'' \wedge \alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}\right]\right) \\ &= \mathrm{Ad}(F)\left(\bar{\partial}\alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}} + \left[\alpha''_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}\right] + \left[\alpha''_{\mathfrak{m}} \wedge \alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}\right]\right). \end{split}$$

Further it is

$$[(f^*\beta)'' \wedge (f^*\beta)'] = [(\operatorname{Ad}(F) \, \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}'') \wedge (\operatorname{Ad}(F) \, \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}')] = \operatorname{Ad}(F) \, [\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}'' \wedge \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}']$$

and therefore we get

$$\beta\left(\nabla''\partial f\right) = \operatorname{Ad}(F) \left(\bar{\partial}\alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}} + \left[\alpha''_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \alpha'_{\mathfrak{m}}\right]\right).$$

Since $\beta: TN \to N \times \mathfrak{g}$ is injective, this equation finishes the proof.

After these preparations the aim is to construct examples of pluriharmonic maps where the curvature condition (2.7) of Theorem 1 is **not** satisfied.

For a non-compact semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} we consider its complexification $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the symmetric decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \text{ with } \mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}.$$
 (4.3)

Denote by H and G Lie groups with Lie algebras \mathfrak{h} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$, such that H is a closed subgroup in G. An invariant (pseudo-)Euclidean scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{h} can be extended by $\text{Re}(\langle \cdot, \overline{\cdot} \rangle)$ to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and endows N = G/H with the structure of a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space. Since \mathfrak{h} is semi-simple, a possible choice of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is given by the Killing form.

Composing a map $F: M \to G$ with the canonical projection $\pi: G \to G/H$ yields a map $f: M \to G/H$ with framing F. For a general map $F: M \to G$ the integrability conditions⁵ in terms of $\alpha = F^*\theta$ are given by the Maurer-Cartan equations

$$d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha \wedge \alpha] = 0.$$

Decomposing with respect to (4.3) one gets

$$d\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} + [\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}] = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$d\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left[\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} \right] + \left[\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \wedge \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \right] \right) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

⁵i.e. the obstruction to the local existence of a map $F: M \to G$ with $\alpha = F^*\theta$

where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the \mathfrak{h} - and the \mathfrak{p} -part of the \mathfrak{g} -valued one-form α .

In the following we consider a Borel subgroup (M, J) = (B, J) of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{b} and let $F = \iota \colon B \hookrightarrow G$ be its inclusion into G, which is a holomorphic map⁶.

More precisely, let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and Δ^+ be a positive root system, then any Borel subalgebra is conjugate to

$$\mathfrak{b}_0 = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \text{ with } \mathfrak{n}^{\pm} = \sum_{\pm \alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{h}^{\alpha}$$

and one directly checks $[\mathfrak{b}_0,\mathfrak{b}_0]=\mathfrak{n}^+$ and consequently $[\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{b}]=\mathfrak{n}$ for some nilpotent subalgebra \mathfrak{n} . Since \mathfrak{h} is semi-simple, it follows, that its complexification is semi-simple and therefore \mathfrak{n} is non-empty.

The equations (4.4) and the holomorphicity of $F:(B,J)\to G$ imply

$$d(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ J) + [\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge (\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ J)] = 0.$$

This equation and equation (4.4) show using Proposition 8, that $f = \pi \circ F$ is pluriharmonic. By our assumption, that \mathfrak{h} is a non-compact real form of \mathfrak{g} , f is a non-trivial map. As B is a Borel subgroup, it follows that the image of the curvature operator

$$\Re^h(dF(T^{1,0}B), dF(T^{1,0}B))$$

corresponds to $[\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{n}$, where \mathfrak{n} is a nilpotent subalgebra in \mathfrak{g} . Therefore \mathcal{R}^h takes values in a non-trivial isotropic subspace of \mathfrak{g} and hence the map $F = \iota$ violates the curvature condition (2.7). Summarizing we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3. Let \mathfrak{h} be a non-compact semi-simple Lie algebra and \mathfrak{g} its complexification. Denote by (G/H,h) a (locally) pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space with Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}$ and by $\pi \colon G \to G/H$ the canonical projection. Fix a Borel subgroup B of G and denote by $F \colon B \to G$ its inclusion into G, then the map $f := \pi \circ F$ is a pluriharmonic map, which violates the curvature condition (2.7) of Theorem 1. In particular, the map F does not admit an associated family.

Example 2. Let us consider $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(p,q)$, then one has $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(p+q,\mathbb{C})$. A possible choice for \mathfrak{n} is given by the space of strictly upper triangular matrices and \mathfrak{b} is given by the intersection of the space of upper triangular matrices with $\mathfrak{sl}(p+q,\mathbb{C})$.

In order to compute the pluriharmonic map f explicitly, let us determine the Cartan embedding (see for example Ch. 3 of [11]) of $SL(p+q,\mathbb{C})/SU(p,q)$ into $SL(p+q,\mathbb{C})$. Denote by $I_{p,q}$ the matrix

$$I_{p,q} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{1}_p & 0\\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_q \end{array}\right)$$

representing the Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{p,q}$. The Cartan involution on \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$\sigma \colon \mathfrak{sl}(p+q,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{sl}(p+q,\mathbb{C}),$$

$$A \mapsto I_{p,q} \bar{A}^t I_{p,q}$$

and is the differential of the map

$$\Sigma \colon SL(p+q,\mathbb{C}) \to SL(p+q,\mathbb{C}),$$

$$g \mapsto I_{p,q} \bar{g}^t I_{p,q}.$$

⁶see for example p. 506 of [30]

Using this information we obtain the following explicit representation of the Cartan embedding Ψ of $SL(p+q,\mathbb{C})/SU(p,q)$ into $SL(p+q,\mathbb{C})$:

$$\Psi \colon SL(p+q,\mathbb{C})/SU(p,q) \to SL(p+q,\mathbb{C}),$$

$$gH \mapsto g\Sigma (g^{-1}) = g I_{p,q} (\bar{g}^t)^{-1} I_{p,q} = g I_{p,q} (I_{p,q} \bar{g}^t)^{-1}.$$

Let us recall, that Ψ is a totally geodesic embedding. Therefore f is pluriharmonic if and only if $\Psi \circ f$ is pluriharmonic. An element $b \in B$ can be written as

$$b = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_1 & C \\ 0 & A_2 \end{array}\right),$$

where A_1, A_2 are upper triangular matrices and C is a complex $p \times q$ matrix. In this representation one computes

$$b \cdot I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -C \\ 0 & -A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{b}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_1^t & 0 \\ \bar{C}^t & \bar{A}_2^t \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } I_{p,q} \cdot \bar{b}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_1^t & 0 \\ -\bar{C}^t & -\bar{A}_2^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Summarizing this shows the following formula for the pluriharmonic map f composed with the Cartan embedding

$$(\Psi \circ f)(b) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -C \\ 0 & -A_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_1^t & 0 \\ -\bar{C}^t & -\bar{A}_2^t \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

An example of this type is the "cooling tower" (one-sheeted hyperboloid), where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3,1)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$. In this case one has

$$B \ni b = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & c \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Using $det(b) = a_1 a_2 = 1$ we obtain the subsequent explicit form for the pluriharmonic map $\Psi \circ f$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi \circ f)(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -c \\ 0 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_1 & 0 \\ -\bar{c} & -\bar{a}_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -c \\ 0 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{a}_2 & 0 \\ \bar{c} & \bar{a}_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} \begin{pmatrix} -a_1 \bar{a}_2 - |c|^2 & -\bar{a}_1 c \\ -a_2 \bar{c} & -a_2 \bar{a}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \bar{a}_2 + |c|^2 & c\bar{a}_1 \\ a_2 \bar{c} & a_2 \bar{a}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

References

- [1] V. Balan and J. Dorfmeister, Weierstrass-type representation for harmonic maps into general symmetric spaces via loop groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 57 (2005), no. 1, 69–94. MR2114721 (2005k:53103)
- [2] F. Belgun and A. Moroianu, Nearly Kähler 6-manifolds with reduced holonomy, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 19 (2001), no. 4, 307–319, DOI 10.1023/A:1010799215310. MR1842572 (2002f:53083)
- [3] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition. MR2371700 (2008k:53084)
- [4] R. L. Bryant, Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-sphere, J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 3, 455–473. MR679067 (84a:53062)
- [5] F. E. Burstall, S. Gutt, and J. Rawnsley, Twistor spaces for Riemannian symmetric spaces, Math. Ann. 295 (1993), no. 4, 729–743, DOI 10.1007/BF01444914. MR1214959 (94f:53095)
- [6] F. E. Burstall and F. Pedit, Harmonic maps via Adler-Kostant-Symes theory, Harmonic maps and integrable systems, Aspects Math., E23, Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994, pp. 221–272. MR1264189

- [7] F. E. Burstall, D. Ferus, F. Pedit, and U. Pinkall, Harmonic tori in symmetric spaces and commuting Hamiltonian systems on loop algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 138 (1993), no. 1, 173–212, DOI 10.2307/2946637. MR1230929 (94m:58057)
- [8] F. E. Burstall and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1424, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. With applications to harmonic maps of Riemann surfaces. MR1059054 (91m:58039)
- [9] J.-B. Butruille, Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 27 (2005), no. 3, 201–225, DOI 10.1007/s10455-005-1581-x (French, with English summary). MR2158165 (2006f:53060)
- [10] E. Calabi, Quelques Applications de l'Analyse aux Surfaces d'Aire Minima, Topics in Complex Manifolds, Univ. Montreal (1967), 59-81.
- [11] J. Cheeger and D. G. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1975. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 9. MR0458335 (56 #16538)
- [12] V. Cortés and L. Schäfer, Differential geometric aspects of the tt*-equations, From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 75–86. MR2483749 (2010f:53103)
- [13] _____, Flat nearly Kähler manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 32 (2007), no. 4, 379–389, DOI 10.1007/s10455-007-9068-6. MR2346224 (2009a:53048)
- [14] A. M. Din and W. J. Zakrzewski, General classical solutions in the \mathbf{CP}^{n-1} model, Nuclear Phys. B **174** (1980), no. 2-3, 397–406, DOI 10.1016/0550-3213(80)90291-6. MR591620 (82f:81041)
- [15] J. Dorfmeister, F. Pedit, and H. Wu, Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces, Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), no. 4, 633–668. MR1664887 (2000d:53099)
- [16] B. Dubrovin, Geometry and integrability of topological-antitopological fusion, Comm. Math. Phys. **152** (1993), no. 3, 539–564. MR1213301 (95a:81227)
- [17] J. Eells and S. Salamon, Twistorial construction of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 12 (1985), no. 4, 589–640 (1986). MR848842 (87i:58042)
- [18] J. Eells and J. C. Wood, Harmonic maps from surfaces to complex projective spaces, Adv. in Math. 49 (1983), no. 3, 217–263, DOI 10.1016/0001-8708(83)90062-2. MR716372 (85f:58029)
- [19] J.-H. Eschenburg and P. Quast, Pluriharmonic maps into Kähler symmetric spaces and Sym's formula, Math. Z. 264 (2010), no. 2, 469–481, DOI 10.1007/s00209-008-0472-9. MR2574985 (2011f:53142)
- [20] J.-H. Eschenburg and R. Tribuzy, Associated families of pluriharmonic maps and isotropy, Manuscripta Math. 95 (1998), no. 3, 295–310, DOI 10.1007/s002290050030. MR1612066 (99i:58038)
- [21] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov, Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 2, 303–335. MR1928632 (2003m:53070)
- [22] P. Gauduchon, Hermitian connections and Dirac operators, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) 11 (1997), no. 2, suppl., 257–288 (English, with Italian summary). MR1456265 (98c:53034)
- [23] J. F. Glazebrook, Strings, harmonic maps and hyperbolic systems, Comput. Math. Appl. 19 (1990),
 no. 8-9, 117–125, DOI 10.1016/0898-1221(90)90269-P. MR1040156 (91b:58046)
- [24] J. C. González Dávila and F. Martin Cabrera, Homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 42 (2012), no. 2, 147–170, DOI 10.1007/s10455-011-9305-x. MR2947950
- [25] A. Gray, Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds, Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965), 273–287. MR0184185 (32 #1658)
- [26] ______, Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3, J. Differential Geometry 7 (1972), 343–369. MR0331281 (48 #9615)
- [27] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 123 (1980), 35–58, DOI 10.1007/BF01796539. MR581924 (81m:53045)
- [28] M. Higaki, Actions of loop groups on the space of harmonic maps into reductive homogeneous spaces, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 5 (1998), no. 3, 401–421. MR1656058 (2000a:53114)
- [29] N. J. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 59–126, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-55.1.59. MR887284 (89a:32021)
- [30] A. W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, 2nd ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR1920389 (2003c:22001)

- [31] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1996. Reprint of the 1969 original; A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR1393941 (97c:53001b)
- [32] P.-A. Nagy, Nearly Kähler geometry and Riemannian foliations, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 3, 481–504. MR1946344 (2003m:53043)
- [33] ______, On nearly-Kähler geometry, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), no. 2, 167–178, DOI 10.1023/A:1019506730571. MR1923275 (2003g:53073)
- [34] N. R. O'Brian and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor spaces, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 3 (1985), no. 1, 29–58, DOI 10.1007/BF00054490. MR812312 (87d:32054)
- [35] Y. Ohnita and S. Udagawa, Complex-analyticity of pluriharmonic maps and their constructions, Prospects in complex geometry (Katata and Kyoto, 1989), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1468, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 371–407, DOI 10.1007/BFb0086201, (to appear in print). MR1123550 (92g:58026)
- [36] Y. Ohnita and G. Valli, Pluriharmonic maps into compact Lie groups and factorization into unitons, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **61** (1990), no. 3, 546–570, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-61.3.546. MR1069515 (91i:58034)
- [37] J. H. Rawnsley, f-structures, f-twistor spaces and harmonic maps, Geometry seminar "Luigi Bianchi" II—1984, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1164, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 85–159, DOI 10.1007/BFb0081911, (to appear in print). MR829229 (87h:58048)
- [38] S. Salamon, Quaternionic Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 1, 143–171, DOI 10.1007/BF01393378. MR664330 (83k:53054)
- [39] L. Schäfer, tt*-geometry and pluriharmonic maps, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **28** (2005), no. 3, 285–300, DOI 10.1007/s10455-005-7947-2. MR2186192 (2007b:53058)
- [40] ______, tt*-geometry on the tangent bundle of an almost complex manifold, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), no. 3, 999–1014, DOI 10.1016/j.geomphys.2006.08.004. MR2275206 (2007m:53023)
- [41] L. Schäfer and F. Schulte-Hengesbach, Nearly pseudo-Kähler and nearly para-Kähler six-manifolds, Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian geometry and supersymmetry, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 16, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010, pp. 425–453, DOI 10.4171/079-1/12, (to appear in print). MR2681597 (2011g:53055)
- [42] A. Strominger, Superstrings with torsion, Nuclear Phys. B 274 (1986), no. 2, 253–284, DOI 10.1016/0550-3213(86)90286-5. MR851702 (87m:81177)
- [43] K. Uhlenbeck, Harmonic maps into Lie groups: classical solutions of the chiral model, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), no. 1, 1–50. MR1001271 (90g:58028)

Leibniz Universität Hannover, Institut für Differentialgeometrie, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany

E-mail address: schaefer@math.uni-hannover.de